

Vision PV .014P RX

Digital IVUS catheter

Designed for peripheral use with greater pushability

The Visions PV .014P RX has a 55% stiffer shaft than Eagle Eye Platinum and PV .014P to facilitate greater pushability while preserving the equivalent level of trackability.¹

IVUS provides detailed and accurate assessment of:

- Lumen size
- Vessel size
- Plaque morphology
- Key anatomical landmarks

By providing detailed and accurate measurements of lumen and vessel size, plaque area and the location of key anatomical landmarks, Philips intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) is a valuable tool in the assessment of peripheral artery disease (PAD).^{2,3,4,5,6,7}

See clearly. Treat optimally.

Average compression force Visions PV .014P RX % stiffness to control and Visions PV .018 • Visions PV .014P RX • Visions PV .014P RX • Visions PV .018 • Visions PV .018 Average Eagle Eye Platinum control Eagle Eye Platinum control 4 3.5 3.578 More force is 3.563 03 required to buckle 155.8% 155.2% PV.014P RX 25 2 2.296 99.6% 1.5 64.2% 1 0.5 0 0 0 % Stiffness of control

Average compression force (Ibf)

% Stiffness of PV .018

When comparing the stiffness of the Visions PV .014P RX to that of the Eagle Eye Platinum control and the Visions PV .018, the data demonstrated that Visions PV .014P RX is 55% stiffer than the Eagle Eye Platinum and is statistically equivalent to that of Visions PV .018.1

Ordering information and technical specification

Visions PV .014P RX digital IVUS catheter 014R



1. N=15 Data on File at Philips Corporation

- 2. Kashyap VS, Pavkov ML, Bishop PD, Nassoiy SP, Eagleton MJ, Clair DG, Ouriel K. Angiography underestimates peripheral atherosclerosis: lumenography revisited. J Endovasc Ther 2008;15(1):117-25.
- 3. lida O, Takahara M, Soga Y, Suzuki K, Hirano K, Kawasaki D, Shintani Y, Suematsu N, Yamaoka T, Nanto S, Uematsu M. Efficacy of intravascular ultrasound in femoropopliteal stenting for peripheral artery disease with TASC II class A to C lesions. J Endovasc Ther 2014;21(4):485-92
- 4. Hitchner E, Zayed M, Varu V, Lee G, Aalami O, Zhou W. A prospective evaluation of using IVUS during percutaneous superficial femoral artery interventions. Ann Vasc Surg 2015;29(1):28-33.
- 5. Lee JT, Fang TD, White RA. Application of intravascular ultrasound in the treatment of peripheral occlusive disease. Semin Vasc Surg 2006;19(3):139-44.
- 6. Arthurs ZM, Bishop PD, Feiten LE, Eagleton MJ, Clair DG, Kashyap VS. Evaluation of peripheral atherosclerosis: a comparative analysis of angiography and intravascular ultrasound imaging. J Vasc Surg 2010;51(4):933-8.
- Panaich SS, Arora S, Patel N, Patel NJ, Savani C, Patel A, Thakkar B, Singh V, Patel S, Patel N, Agnihotri K, Bhatt P, Deshmukh A, Gupta V, Attaran RR, Mena 7. CI, Grines CL, Cleman M, Forrest JK, Badheka AO. Intravascular ultrasound in lower extremity peripheral vascular interventions: variation in utilization and impact on in-hospital outcomes from the nationwide inpatient sample (2006 2011). J Endovasc Ther 2016;23(1):65-75.
- Kumakura H, Kanai H, Araki Y, Hojo Y, Iwasaki T, Ichikawa S. Fifteen-year patency and life expectancy after primary stenting guided by intravascular ultrasound for iliac artery lesions in peripheral arterial disease. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2015;8(14):1893-901.

©2019 Koninklijke Philips N.V. All rights reserved Approved for external distribution. D041641-01 012019



Philips 3721 Valley Centre Drive, Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92130 USA www.philips.com/IGTdevices